

D. Adrian Hoosier, II WV Bar Id. No.: 10013 adrian@hlfwv.com (p) 681.265.5000 (f) 681.265.5001

September 20, 2018

Julie Ball, Clerk Mercer County Courthouse 1501 Main Street Princeton, WV 24740 FILED

OCT - 3 2018

LERK CHOUIT COURT

Re: John Edmonds v. Altice Technical Services US, LLC

Dear Ms. Ball,

Enclosed for filing, please find an original and appropriate copies of the following regarding the above referenced matter:

- 1. CIVIL COVER SHEET
- 2. SUMMONS
- 3. COMPLAINT

I have also enclosed a check to Mercer County Circuity Clerk (filing fees), a check to WV SOS (for service upon Altice Technical Services US, LLC), and a pre-paid envelope for a copy of the filings to be returned to our office.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Candice Corathers

Paralegal to D. Adrian Hoosier, II

2306 1/2 Kanawha Blvd. East, Charleston, West Virginia - 25311

EXHIBIT

Lagrange A

	_		
F	L	E	D

DCT 0 3 2018

IN THE CIRCUIT COU	RT OF	MERCER		_county, west			
CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT (Civil Cases Other than Domestic Relations)							
I. CASE STYLE: Plaintiff(s) JOHN EDMONDS			Case No. Judge:	18-C-294 Sadles			
vs. Defendant(s) ALTICE TECHNICAL SERVICE Name	ES US, LLC	Days to Answer	Type SOS	e of Service			
115 DYE Drive Street Address Beakley, WV 25801 City, State, Zip Code							
II. TYPE OF CASE: ☐ General Civil ☐ Mass Litigation [As define] ☐ Asbestos ☐ FELA Asbestos ☐ Other: ☐ Habeas Corpus/Other Extr				Adoption Administrative Ag Civil Appeal from Miscellaneous Civ Mental Hygiene Guardianship Medical Malpracti	Magistrate Court		
III. JURY DEMAND: Ye	s 🗌 No CASE WII	LL BE READ	y for tr	CIAL BY (Month/Year)	: 09 / 2019		
V. DO YOU OR ANY OF YOUR CLIENTS OR WITNESSES IN THIS CASE REQUIRE SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Other: ☐ IF YES, PLEASE SPECIFY: ☐ Wheelchair accessible hearing room and other facilities ☐ Reader or other auxiliary aid for the visually impaired ☐ Interpreter or other auxiliary aid for the deaf and hard of hearing ☐ Spokesperson or other auxiliary aid for the speech impaired ☐ Foreign language interpreter-specify language: ☐ Other:							
Attorney Name: D. Adrian Hoosis Firm: Hoosier Law Firm, PLLC Address: 2306 1/2 Kanawha Blvd E, Telephone: 681-285-5000	Charleston, WV 2531	1	☐ Cn	=	fendant 188-Complainant -Party Defendant		
Proceeding Without an Attor			7.1				
Original and 2 copies of complaint enclosed/attached. Dated: 09 / 20 / 2018 Signature: CCA-C-100: Civil Case Information Statement (Other than Domestic Relations) Revision Date: 12/2015							

FILED

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MERCER COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

JOHN EDMONDS.

٧.

Plaintiff.

ALTICE TECHNICAL SERVICES US LLC,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff John Edmonds (hereinafter "Plaintiff") brings this Complaint for violations of the W.Va. Human Rights Act, breach of contract/implied contract, and violations of public policy stemming from his employment separation with Defendant Altice Technical Services US, LLC (hereinafter "Defendant" or "Altice").
- 2. Each "Count" herein is intended to be plead as a separate and distinct "Count" from all other "Counts" whether or not "enumerated" as a separate count.
- 3. Plaintiff is a resident of West Virginia.
- Defendant is chartered in the State of West Virginia with operations in West 4. Virginia and list Beckley, West Virginia as its designated office. Defendant employed Plaintiff in Mercer County, West Virginia and has operations in Mercer County, West Virginia. All actions and inactions alleged herein did occur in Mercer County, West Virginia.

Jurisdiction and venue are appropriate in this court.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) verbatim as if set forth herein each of the allegations and averments set forth in all above paragraphs and/or headings.
- Plaintiff was employed by Defendant until his wrongful termination around January 2018.
- Plaintiff worked as a service tech for the Defendant.
- Plaintiff was/is disabled and had a known disability. Plaintiff had to leave work
 due to complications from his injury and reported the same.
- 10. Defendant knew of his disability.
- Plaintiff had no issues with Defendant until he left work on disability.
- Defendant's hand book provides for protection of men and women who are disabled, and/or injured.
- 13. It is against Defendant's policies for a man or woman to be discriminated against in whole or in part to their disability.
- 14. Defendant violated a contract/implied contract with the plaintiff in its failure to adhere to its policy, failure to adhere to his handbook, and by allowing Plaintiff to be discriminated against/terminated due to his disability.
- 15. If fact, despite never having major issues with the defendant for the duration of his employment, plaintiff was terminated while on doctor's orders to be off work due to injury.

Plaintiff's termination was in violation of the W.Va. Human Rights Act, and public policy. His termination and treatment were based in whole or in part on his disability, or work place injury. Plaintiff's allegations are supported by his disability as set forth above and below.

VIOLATION OF THE WV HUMAN RIGHTS ACT - COUNT 1

- 17. Plaintiff hereby adopt verbatim as if set forth herein each of the allegations and averments set forth in all above paragraphs and/or headings.
- Defendants' termination of Plaintiff's employment (actual) was based upon, in whole or in part, on Plaintiff's disability/failure to accommodate disability in violation of the WVHRA and its accompanying regulations, and/or Defendant's handbook that prohibits discrimination based on disability.
- 19. Defendants' treatment/ termination of Plaintiff was based in whole or in part on Plaintiff's disability, or failure to accommodate disability. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class (disabled); the Defendant made an adverse decision concerning the plaintiff; but for the plaintiff's protected status, the adverse decision would not have been made. Syllabus point 2, Conaway v. Eastern Associated Coal Corp., 358 S.E.2d 423 (W. Va. 1986); Syllabus point 4, Mayflower Vehicle Sys., Inc. v. Cheeks, 629 S.E.2d 762 (W. Va. 2006). Plaintiff has been harmed by Defendant's actions in violation of the WVHRA, and Defendant's own handbook prohibiting disability, or work place injury discrimination. Plaintiff was discriminated against by his employer. Plaintiff

- was terminated not due to a permissible or justifiable ground for termination, but because he was disabled.
- 20. Defendant likewise failed to accommodate plaintiff's disability. Plaintiff herein alleges BOTH disability discrimination in violation of the WVHRA AND failure to accommodate disability in violation of the WVHRA. Plaintiff was required to leave work for a disability/injury/inability to preform job functions. Plaintiff was under physician's orders to not be at work. Plaintiff explained this to Defendant. Plaintiff was terminated while off work due to disability/injury/inability to preform job functions. Plaintiff was not offered light duty or any accommodation even though he was promised the same and entitled to the same.

BREACH OF CONTRACT – COUNT 2

- 21. Plaintiff hereby adopts verbatim as if set forth herein each of the allegations and averments set forth in all above paragraphs and/or headings
 - a. Implied Employment Contract
 - i. Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia ("Supreme Court of Appeals") has recognized implied employment contracts. Implied contracts are those that are recognized by the Court where there is no official contract, but there is evidence of an implied contractual relationship. See Cook v. Heck's Inc., 342 S.E.2d 453 (W. Va. 1986); Hogue v. Walker Machinery, 431 S.E.2d 687 (W. Va. 1993).

- i. Additionally, past practices and customs, even if they are not in writing, creates an implied contract (herein Defendant breached the agreement by failing to pay Plaintiff under the terms of the verbal contract, failure to follow the policy in the handbook/regulations as explained, and retaliating against Plaintiff since he was gay when the handbook states that he cannot be retaliated against for disability, reporting of violations, or work place injury, specifically. Plaintiff preformed all parts of the agreement to be performed by Plaintiff.)
- Plaintiff relied on the actual or implied contracts made by Defendant verbally and/or in the handbook.
- iii. Defendant breached the Implied Contracts.
- iv. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's breach and is entitled to damages.

DAMAGES

- 22. Plaintiff adopts verbatim as if set forth herein each of the allegations and averments set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiffs is entitled to damages for 1) indignity, 2) embarrassment, 3) humiliation and 4) emotional distress in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- 24. Defendant's actions were willful, wanton and malicious and violated the WVHRA entitling Plaintiff to 5) attorneys' fees and 6) cost pursuant to W. Va.

Code § 5-11-13 and/or 71 the decisions of the Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia. Defendant is strictly liable for Plaintiffs' damages. Hanlon v. Chambers, 195 W.Va. 99, 464 S.E.2d 741 (1985). Defendants actions were in violation of the WVHRA and as such Plaintiff is entitled to 8) "back pay or any other legal or equitable relief" and the trial court, 2) "in its discretion, may award all or a portion of the costs of the litigation, including reasonable attorney fees and witness fees, to the complainant." W.Va. Code § 5-11-13. The Supreme Court of Appeals has interpreted "other legal or equitable relief" to include typical tort damages, including 10) emotional distress, 11) front pay, and 12) punitive damages. See Dobson v. Eastern Associated Coal Corp., 422 S.E.2d 494 (W. Va. 1992) (holding that recovery of typical tort damages is permitted by the statutory language and that, specifically, front pay is available); Akers v. Cabell Huntington Hosp., Inc., 599 S.E.2d 769, 777 (W. Va. 2004) (holding that emotional distress damages are available under the Act); Syllabus point 4, Haynes v. Rhone-Poulenc, Inc., 521 S.E.2d 331 (W. Va. 1999) (holding that punitive damages are available under the Act).

- 25. Defendant's actions were willful, wanton and/or grossly negligent, and, as such, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive damages. Intend is assumed when a jury finds a WVHRA violation. Thus, punitive damages are permitted and instructed.
- 26. Plaintiff is also entitled for those aforesaid 13) damages under Harless, supra.
 As the same pertain to the public policy violations.
- Plaintiff is also entitled to 14-15 pre/post-judgment interest, 16 costs, and 17
 fees.

28. And all other damages which are 18) just and foreseeable herein and/or allowed by 19) common law or 20) statute, or 21) deemed proper by this Honorable Court including but not limited to 22) pain/suffering, 23) medical expenses, 24) loss of enjoyment of life, 25) damages for indignity, 26) embarrassment, 27) humiliation, 28) annoyance, and 29) inconvenience.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues set forth in complaint.

JOHN EDMONDS,

By Counsel,

D. Adrian Hoosier, II (WVSB# 10013)

Hoosier Law Firm, PLLC 2306 1/2 Kanawha Blvd., East

Charleston, WV 25311 Telephone: (681) 265-5000 Facsimile: (681) 265-5001 adrian@hlfwv.com IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MERCER COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA
JOHN EDMONDS,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action: 18-6-274 Judge: Sadles

ALTICE TECHNICAL SERVICES US LLC,

Defendant.

SUMMONS

TO: ALTICE TECHNICAL SERVICES US LLC

1111 Stewart Avenue ATTN: TROY ROBINSON Bethpage, NY 11714

IN THE STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA, you are hereby summoned and required to serve upon D. Adrian Hoosier, II, Plaintiff's attorney, whose address is 2306 ½ Kanawha Boulevard, East, Charleston, WV 25311, an answer, including any related counterclaim you may have, to the complaint filed against you in the above styled civil action, a true copy of which is herewith delivered to you. You are required to serve your answer within 30 days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint and you will be thereafter barred for asserting in another action any claim you may have which must be asserted by counterclaim in the above-styled civil action.

Dated: 10 (03)

Circuit Clerk